

Euthanasia and Living Will

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Supreme Court, in a landmark verdict in 2011, ruled out active euthanasia, but laid down a legal framework for passive euthanasia.

\n

- A Constitution Bench is now hearing a petition filed by NGO Common Cause on the issue of living will in relation with passive euthanasia.

\n

- The centre has told the Supreme Court that it was evaluating a draft law on passive euthanasia, and takes stance against living will.

\n

\n\n

What is the case?

\n\n

\n

- **Passive euthanasia** - It refers to the withdrawal of medical treatment with the deliberate intention to hasten a terminally ill-patient's death.

\n

- The centre has informed that the 'Management of Patients With Terminal Illness — Withdrawal of Medical Life Support Bill' was ready.

\n

- It has provisions allowing passive euthanasia as recommended by the law commission which specifies certain categories of people.

\n

- These include those in persistent vegetative state (PVS), in irreversible coma, or of unsound mind, who lack the mental faculties to take decisions.

\n

- **Living Will** - It refers to an advance written directive of the concerned person to physicians for end-of-life medical care i.e. not to provide life support.

\n

- The court has indicated that it may lay down comprehensive guidelines on operationalising the idea of living wills.

\n

- However, the government has opposed the concept of an advance directive and opposes permitting people to make a 'living will'.

\n

\n\n

What are the complications with 'living will'?

\n\n

\n

- Deciding on the question of living will comes with all the legal, moral and philosophical implications.

\n

- **Legal** - An earlier verdict from the judiciary has noted that right to life under Article 21 does not include the right to die.

\n

- Allowing making a living will would contravene this legal stand.

\n

- **Rights** - Allowing it would also acknowledge the patient's autonomy and self-determination to the point of legalising a wish to die.

\n

- **Social** - There are chances of misusing the provision and leading to the abuse and neglect of the elderly.

\n

- On the other hand, allowing it would relieve the close family members, of a terminally ill patient, of the moral burden of making a life-ending decision.

\n

- A living will would also rule out the possibility of doubting the life terminating decision as a murder.

\n

\n\n

What could be done?

\n\n

\n

- Given the mixed benefits, living will could be provided for with the necessary safeguards.

\n

- The guidelines should ensure that it was really the will of the concerned person.

\n

- Also, an independent medical board can examine the health of the person to establish the validity of the decision.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n

