

# **Electoral Bonds Part II**

<u>Click here</u> to read about Electoral Bonds

\n\n

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The Government is set to introduce a scheme **offering political bonds as bearer instruments** which will conceal the identity of the bond buyers and enable a process of political donations that will make funding political parties transparent.

\n\n

### **Electoral Bond:**

\n\n

\n

• Electoral Bond is a financial instrument for making donations to political parties.

\n

- These are issued by Scheduled Commercial banks upon authorisation from the Central Government to intending donors, but only against cheque and digital payments (cannot be purchased by cash).
- These bonds shall be redeemable in the designated account of a registered political party within the prescribed time limit from issuance of bond.  $\n$
- Electoral bond was announced in the Union Budget 2017-18.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

\n\n

#### What is the problem with the electoral bonds?

\n\n

∖n

• Electoral bonds as envisaged here open up yet another route to **further the unholy nexus between corporate houses and political parties**, which have been at the root of high level corruption in the country.  $\n$ 

- Consider a situation: A large corporate, 'A', plans to donate a very large sum to a party, 'B', in power but wants no attention from regulators, anticorruption laws or the judiciary. \n
- The Government enacts a law that blacks out the identity of the donor 'A'. Neither 'A', the donor, nor 'B', the receiver, is required to share details of the so-called donation.
  - ∖n
- This comes within the realm of possibility, indeed probability, with no upper limit set of the donations that can be made by a donor entity.  $\n$
- The scheme says nothing about the kind of nexus that will drive such donations.

\n

\n\n

## Could it promote transparency?

\n\n

∖n

• It is true that businesses and their associations and federations do not want the donors named but then the transparency of a system cannot be achieved when donations have no upper limits and names of groups making the payment are blacked out.

\n

• To enforce transparency in political funding: We need to **ban cash** altogether and disclose all sources.

\n

• The only message we can draw is that political will is singularly lacking to cleanse the system.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

#### **Source: Business Line**

\n

