

EC's Disqualification of AAP MLAs

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Election Commission (EC) has recommended to the President that 20 of Aam Aadmi Party's (AAP) MLAs be disqualified for holding offices of profit.

 $n\n$

How did the issue evolve?

 $n\n$

\n

 The Arvind Kejriwal-led Delhi government passed an order back in 2015, appointing 21 MLAs as parliamentary secretaries.

\n

• The appointment of MLAs was **challenged** by an advocate arguing that these MLAs were holding 'office of profit'.

۱n

• There was also a petition before the then President seeking their disqualification.

\n

• In response, the Delhi Assembly passed the Delhi Member of Legislative Assembly (Removal of Disqualification) (Amendment **Bill**), 2015.

• The bill excluded the parliamentary secretaries from 'Office of Profit' with retrospective effect.

\n

• However, **President declined assent** to the Bill.

 Later on, the Election Commission (EC) held a personal hearing for 21 AAP MLAs.

- Subsequently, the Delhi High Court set aside a government order that appointed 21 of the party's MLAs as parliamentary secretaries.
- Very recently, the Election Commission has recommended the President for disqualification of the 20 AAP MLAs (one resigned).

Who is a Parliamentary Secretary?

 $n\n$

\n

• A Parliament Secretary often holds the rank of Minister of State and has the same entitlements.

۱n

 He/she is appointed to assist the ministers and is assigned to a government department.

\n

 Many states in the Indian Union have instituted the post of Parliamentary Secretary and have also appointed MLAs to the post.

 $n\n$

What is an 'Office of Profit'?

 $n\n$

\n

• 'Office of profit' (OoP) is not clearly defined in the Constitution.

• But deriving from the past judicial pronouncements, five tests have been laid down to check if an office is an OoP or not.

\n

• They are:

\n

 $n\n$

\n

i. whether the government makes the <u>appointment</u>

ii. whether the government has the right to $\underline{\text{remove or dismiss}}$ the holder

iii. whether the government pays remuneration

۱'n

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{iv}}.$ what the $\underline{\text{functions}}$ of the holder are

۱n

v. does the government exercise any control over the performance of these functions

\n

 $n\n$

• In all, the word 'profit' has always been treated equivalent to or a substitute for the term 'pecuniary gain' (financial gain).

 $n\n$

What are the legal concerns?

 $n\n$

\n

• Office of Profit - MPs and MLAs are supposed to hold the government accountable for its work.

\n

• Logically, holding an "Office of Profit" under the government may make them susceptible to government influence.

\n

- They may fall short of discharging their constitutional mandate.
- **Number of members** Article 164(1A) specifies that the number of ministers including the Chief Minister has to be within 15% of the total strength of the Assembly.

۱n

• In the case of Delhi, which is not a 'full' state, the number of Cabinet Ministers cannot exceed 10% of the total 70 seats.

\n

- This is as per Article 239AA of Constitution which deals with Special provisions with respect to Delhi.
- As a Parliament Secretary often holds the rank of Minister of State, their numbers should also be considered in meeting this limitation.
- \bullet On violation of this, various High Courts have earlier struck down the appointment of Parliamentary Secretaries as unconstitutional. $\$

 $n\$

What next?

 $n\n$

- The constitutional procedure is that if there is any petition pertaining to an office of profit, it goes to the President.
- She/he checks Article 102 and 191 of the constitution and Section 15 of the National Capital Territory of Delhi Act 1991 and takes the EC's opinion.

\n

- After the presidential sign and seal is placed on the EC's recommendation, the 20 MLAs will stand disqualified.
- ullet Notably, the remedial measures for the AAP in court are limited.
- \bullet This is because the Delhi High Court has already heard the matter and quashed the appointments. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Moreover, the Election Commission, mandated by the Constitution to deal with such matters, has already dealt the issue at length.



\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu, The Wire

