

Doubling Farmer Income by 2022

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• The government has released a seven-point plan to double farmer income by 2022.

\n

• The package has some glaring deficiencies.

 $n\n$

What are the flaws?

 $n\n$

\n

- No New Ideas The plan is little more than a mere repackaging of the ongoing agricultural development schemes.
- Productivity It is focused more on raising farm productivity than on improving the profitability of farming.
- This disregards the fact that higher farm output does not necessarily lead to higher income.

\n

- Bumper harvests have in fact often caused a slide in prices.
- Marginal Farming Income from increasing output can at best be only marginal because land holdings of most farmers are too small.
- Thereby, they can't produce sizeable marketable surpluses.
- **Growth Rate** Doubling of income by 2022, from current levels would require an estimated income growth of over 10% annually.
- \bullet Such acceleration is hard to come by through the productivity route alone. \n

What are priority areas?

 $n\n$

\n

 More emphasis on various lucrative farm and not-farm economic activities that find a passing mention in the plan is needed.

\n

- These can include, among others, high-value farming involving horticulture, floriculture, herbal farming and farm forestry.
- Allied activities, including novel ventures such as rabbit and quail rearing can also be promoted.

\n

- Waste reduction and value-addition of farm produce with effective market support could help enhance incomes.
 - \n
- Also, the creation of off-farm employment in rural sectors needs to be established.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the policy changes required?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• A stable policy regime governing agricultural pricing and trade needs to be established.

\n

• **Trading** - The current pricing policies seem to have a pro-consumer bias, dictated chiefly by the need to keep inflation down.

\n

• This spurs uncalled for interventions such as frequent opening and shutting of imports and exports of farm goods and imposition of stockholding and other curbs on trade.

\n

- \bullet This needs to change to ensure a balance of produce and consumer interests. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- **Prices** As minimum support price (MSP) mechanism has failed to show results, it needs to be supplemented with other measures.
- One such is the price deficit reimbursement scheme of Madhya Pradesh that seeks to compensate farmers for any shortfall in realising the MSP.

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

