

# Dissolution of Jammu and Kashmir Assembly

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Jammu and Kashmir Governor Satya Pal Malik recently dissolved the State Assembly, amidst tussle in forming government.

• The Governor's decision seems to lack proper constitutional and legal rationality.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What was going on in J&K?

 $n\n$ 

- The Jammu and Kashmir State has been under Governor's rule since June.
- It was the time when BJP withdrew from the coalition and Chief Minister Mehbooba Mufti, of Peoples Democratic Party, resigned.
- The PDP and the National Conference had not initiated any move to form a popular government for months.
- They had been idle for long, favouring fresh elections.
- $\bullet$  The Governor's move came soon after PDP leader Mehbooba Mufti staked claim to form government.  $\mbox{\sc Nn}$
- She cited a collective strength of 56 MLAs in the 87-member House, with the support of the National Conference and Congress.
- A separate claim to form a government was made by Sajad Gani Lone of the two-member People's Conference.
- $\bullet$  He claimed support of the BJP and 18 MLAs from other parties.  $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

## What is the governor's rationale?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Mr. Malik's stated reasons for his action are

 $n\n$ 

\n

i. extensive horse trading (vote trading)

۱n

ii. the possibility that a government formed by parties with "opposing political ideologies" would not be stable

\n

 $n\n$ 

\n

• He also mentioned the fragile security scenario in the state, which calls for a stable and supportive environment for security forces.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### Is the Governor's decision justified?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Governor ought to have known that the Supreme Court has earlier disapproved these kinds of reasoning.

\n

- In Rameshwar Prasad (2006) case, the then Bihar Governor Buta Singh's decision to dissolve the Assembly was held to be illegal and mala fide.
  - \n
- In Bihar, the Assembly was then in suspended animation as no party or combination had the requisite majority.

- Alliances With the BJP backing Sajjad Lone, the PDP may have sensed a danger to the unity of its 29-member legislature party.  $\$
- It thus agreed to an unusual alliance with its political adversaries.
- Describing such an alliance as opportunistic is fine as a political opinion; but it cannot be the basis for constitutional action.

\n

- As held by the Court, a Governor cannot shut out post-poll alliances altogether as one of the ways in which a popular government may be formed.
- Horse trading The court had said unsubstantiated claims of horse-trading or corruption for government formation cannot be cited as reasons to dissolve the Assembly.

\n

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Delay in forming government cannot be the reason for the Governor to dissolve the 87-member House.

\n

 Notably, the parties were just about to come together to form a likely 56member bloc (more than required).

\n

• But the Governor has dissolved the Assembly without giving any claimant an opportunity to form the government.

\n

• Clearly, the J&K Governor's reasoning is irrelevant and the decision is violative of constitutional law and convention.

\n\n

### What should have been done?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The court has said it was the Governor's duty to explore the possibility of forming a popular government.

\n

 $n\n$ 

\n

 He could not dissolve the House solely to prevent a combination from staking its claim.

- $\bullet$  Mr. Malik's remarks that the PDP and the NC did not show proof of majority or parade MLAs indicate a disregard for the primacy accorded to a floor test. \n
- In the interest of political stability in this sensitive State, it is essential that democratic processes are strengthened.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu, Indian Express** 

