

# Disqualification of Rajya Sabha Members

### Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

Two members of the Rajya Sabha belonging to JD(U) party were recently disqualified

 $n\n$ 

## What are the grounds for disqualification?

 $n\n$ 

According to Tenth Schedule introduced by  $52^{nd}$  Constitutional Amendment 1985, the grounds are-

 $n\n$ 

\n

• If a **Members of a Political Party** voluntarily resigned from his party or disobeyed the directives of the party leadership on a vote or when does not vote / abstains as per party's whip.

\n

• If a Independent Member joined a political party.

۱n

• If a Nominated members join a party after six months.

 $n\n$ 

#### What was the reason for the current decision?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The party accused the two members of indulging in anti-party activities, including attending rallies of other parties.
- On the grounds, the party filed a petition seeking the members' expulsion from Rajya Sabha.
- The decision was taken by The Chairman of the Rajya Sabha under the Tenth

Schedule of the Constitution.

۱n

• It was justified on the basis of the argument that the members voluntarily gave up the membership of their party when they attended political rallies organised by rival parties.

 $n\n$ 

## Why are the shortcomings in the decision?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• The disqualification of dissident was done in needless haste.

- The Chairman justified that all such cases should be disposed of within 3 months as any delay would be equivalent to violating the anti-defection law.
- But neither Mr. Yadav nor Mr. Anwar had disobeyed a whip or posed a danger to the stability of any government.
- So there was no need for fast-tracking of the disqualification process.
- The fact that the Chairman did not exhaust all the procedural avenues questions the ruling of political shade.

 $n\n$ 

#### What should be done?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Chairman could have taken the assistance of the privileges committee before deciding the case.

۱n

- The Tenth Schedule is meant to curb opportunistic party-hopping.
- The law works best as an insurance against violation of the people's mandate for a party.

\n

• It should not be used to suppress dissent, whether inside or outside the House.

\n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

