

Direct Benefit Transfer for Electricity

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Mandatory use of Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) for all electricity subsidies has been proposed in the recent draft amendments to the Electricity Act and the National Tariff Policy.

 $n\n$

How does DBT help?

 $n\n$

\n

 DBT is a method through which a subsidy will be directly transferred to the bank accounts of consumers.

۱n

 DBT has reported success in subsidies for LPG and for wages under MNREGA.

\n

• It potentially helps to <u>target subsidies</u>, incentivise <u>behaviour change</u> and <u>reduce wastage</u> of public funds.

۱n

• At present, the electricity subsidy is provided by the State government to the distribution company (Discom).

\n

- However, Rajasthan government announced DBT for providing Rs.10,000 as subsidy annually to each agricultural consumer.
- Punjab and Gujarat are also using the mechanism in small-scale pilot projects.

 $n\n$

What are the precautions to be taken before implementing?

 $n\n$

\n

• Over-estimation - About 90% of electricity subsidies accrue to agricultural

consumers and some sections of residential consumers.

\n

- \bullet However, most <u>beneficiaries are unmetered</u> and the subsidy is provided on assumed consumption norms, which are often overestimated. \n
- e.g In UP the assumed monthly consumption for a rural home is 72 units, which is closer to the average consumption of homes in Mumbai and Hyderabad, typical urban areas.
- Such overestimation implies that losses incurred by the Discom are taken as additional consumption by unmetered consumers, which State governments end up subsidising.

\n

- In such a case, DBT could never end overestimated consumption, as the benefits will somehow be transferred to consumers.
- **Identification** There are issues in identifying the subsidy recipient where the users of electricity are tenants, but the connection is in the name of the property owner.

۱n

- \bullet There is a need for legal and regulatory steps to ensure that the subsidies are provided to electricity users rather than the owners. \n
- Similar safeguards should be ensured for joint ownership and in cases of inheritance issues.

\n

- **Prior deposition** Consumers might find it difficult to manage if the unsubsidised bill is to be paid prior to subsidy transfer.
- Therefore, similar to DBT in LPG, some subsidy can be deposited initially into the bank accounts to enable first payment.
- **Delay in payment** Currently, subsidy payments are often delayed by State governments for prolonged periods to discoms.
- \bullet Though unfair, at least DISCOMs were able operate. But same will not be the case when it comes to individual households. \n

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

- \bullet Section 65 of the Electricity Act can be amended to provide regulatory mandate for monitoring DBT implementation when adopted by the state. \n
- Also, before universal DBT for electricity is applied, close attention needs to be paid to on-going pilots and more large-scale pilots.
- Electricity Regulatory Commissions, Discoms and State Governments should allow different kinds of pilots with strong monitoring, evaluation and learning mechanisms, before signing on to universal adoption.
- Further, there is a need for third-party audits authorised by regulatory commissions.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\$

Source: Business Line

\n

