

De-Registering to Dodge GST

Why in news?

\n\n

Many food traders in India are giving up their registered trademarks to avoid the 5% GST on branded foods.

\n\n

What is the crux of the issue?

\n\n

\n

- GST specifies that various “barley, cereal, corn, flour, oat, pulse, rice, rye, seed and wheat” products that are sold bearing a “registered brand name” will be charged a 5% GST.

\n

- Traders feel that the 5% GST makes a huge difference in the highly competitive market.

\n

- Additionally, along with GST, the costs incurred in maintaining product quality as per government norms for branded products could be upwards of 15%.

\n

- The act defines brands as those registered under the Trade Marks Act, 1999, which has made the traders consider de-registering their products.

\n

\n\n

What are the fallouts?

\n\n

\n

- There might be a fall in quality & increased adulteration due to temptations of the industry & public to go unbranded to reduce costs.

\n

- As only products registered under the Trademarks Act are taxed, this creates an anomaly, which makes it possible for some form of brand

retention without tax compliance by de-registration.

\n

- Copy cats might be sprout up if established brands de-register.

\n

\n\n

What can be done?

\n\n

\n

- As registration deters copying and provides for better quality control, the government should take up corrective policy measures to encourage registration with the Trademarks Act.

\n

- Local agents need to reach out to affected traders and make them aware of the positives that registered trademarks bring to their business.

\n

- GST Council should hold regular meetings and outreach programmes with brand owners and other stakeholders to formulate effective policies.

\n

- For the betterment of the society at large & to eliminate anomalies, the government could also consider eliminating differential taxation for similar products.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: World Trade Review

\n

