

## **Criminal Laws (Rajasthan Amendment) Ordinance, 2017**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The Rajasthan government has recently brought an ordinance to shield judges and bureaucrats facing allegations of corruption from probe.

\n\n

### **What are the provisions?**

\n\n

\n

- The Rajasthan government recently passed Criminal Laws (Rajasthan Amendment) Ordinance, 2017.

\n

- It is now sought to be made into a law.

\n

- It protects serving and former judges, magistrates and public servants from being investigated for on-duty action, without government's prior sanction.

\n

- It provides 180 days immunity to the officers.

\n

- If there is no decision on the sanction request after this stipulated time period, it will automatically mean that sanction has been granted.

\n

- In addition, it prevents the media from reporting on accusations on such persons till the sanction for probe is obtained.

\n

- Violating this clause would call for two years imprisonment.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the concern?**

\n\n

\n

- **Corruption** - These changes seem to be increasingly shielding the public officials from corruption cases.

- \n
- Insulating honest officials from frivolous or motivated charges of wrongdoing is justifiable.
- \n
- However, prosecution for disclosing the identity of the public servants concerned offers an unjustifiable protection to erring officials.
- \n
- Also this special protection to those in power, in instances of corruption, seems to go against Article 14 of the Constitution, conferring equal rights in front of the law.
- \n
- **Media freedom** - This is the first time a section prescribing punishment for disclosure is being introduced in India.
- \n
- It is a grave threat to media freedom and the public's right to know.
- \n
- **Investigation** - Provisions in CrPC and Prevention of Corruption Act already make prior sanction mandatory, before a court can take cognizance of a public servant corruption case.
- \n
- In addition to this, the ordinance, also restrains judicial magistrates from ordering an investigation without prior sanction.
- \n
- This could hamper a possible probe, as no investigating agency can approach a sanctioning authority without gathering any material.
- \n

\n\n

## **What should be done?**

\n\n

- \n
- Noticeably, the Supreme Court had earlier struck down a statutory provision for prior government clearance for a CBI probe against officials of the rank of joint secretary and above.
- \n
- This verdict is a touchstone to test the constitutionality of the pre-investigation sanction requirement.
- \n
- So centre should speed up amendments that redefine criminal misconduct among public servants at the same time protecting legitimate decisions.
- \n
- In all, the anti-corruption legislations should aim at punishing the corrupt, protecting the honest, and ensuring whistle-blower safety.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu, Business Standard**

\n

