

Courts Gagging the Media

Why in news?

 $n\n$

\n

- A special CBI Court recently issued a gag order prohibiting the press from reporting on the court proceedings of a fake encounter case.
- In another case, Allahabad High Court gagged the media from reporting on an ongoing case concerning hate speech by the CM of Uttar Pradesh.

 $n\$

What is the justification?

 $n\n$

\n

- The orders were enabled by the Supreme Court itself.
- In 2012, the Supreme Court held that in certain circumstances, courts could pass "postponement orders" barring coverage of specific judicial proceedings.

\n

- The court framed the issue as requiring a balancing of two competing rights:
 the right to free speech, and the right to a fair trial.
- Observing that sometimes excessive publicity could jeopardise a fair trial, the court held that to the extent it was reasonable and proportionate, "prior restraints" on court reporting could be imposed.
- Allahabad High Court cited that the media reports court proceedings inaccurately to justify the gag order.

 $n\n$

Is the justification fair?

 $n\n$

\n

- In a Jury system, guilt or innocence is decided by a jury of twelve who do not possess specialised legal training
- The idea that "media trials" might distort the outcomes of cases makes sense only in such a system.
- In India we abolished jury trials more than 40 years ago, and it is judges now who decide cases.
- \bullet Judges, by definition, are not only supposed to apply the law but also have to have the relevant training and temperament to be regardless of the public. \n
- The 2012 SC order also failed to adequately limit the kinds of cases in which these exceptional "postponement orders" could be passed.
- \bullet It also failed to limit the duration for which they could be passed.
- \bullet This has given ample space for abuse as happened in the recent orders. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

 Media misreporting of court proceeding can be rectified by making the written transcripts and recordings of court proceedings available to the public.

\n

- \bullet In some situations, a temporary halt on reporting could be justifiable. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- But the bar should be limited to a single hearing, and only in the most exceptional of situations.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

