

Cooling Off Period for Officials

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- Ex-foreign secretary S. Jaishankar recently joined the Tata Groups.
- The cooling-off period has been waived off for him, leading to questions on the decision.

\n

 $n\n$

What is a cooling off period?

 $n\n$

\n

• Bureaucrats serve a cooling off period after they retire and before they can join a private firm.

۱'n

• As per rules for the all-India services, officials undergo a "cooling-off period" for a year.

\n

- The period was reduced from the earlier 2 years, in December 2015.
- This applies to officers of Group "A" Central Civil Services/Posts and All India Services.

۱n

• Government permission is to be sought for post-retirement commercial employment.

\n

 \bullet This is if the job is taken up within 1 year of leaving office. \n

 $n\n$

What is the significance?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Senior bureaucrats occupy key policymaking positions in the government.
- \bullet The cooling-off period is a way to reduce any possible conflict of interest.
- \bullet But it ensures their right to take up an employment of choice in the future. \n

 $n\n$

What is the present case?

 $n\n$

۱n

- \bullet Individual bureaucrats can apply for waivers from the "cooling-off period". $\$
- Mr.Jaishankar had reportedly written to the Prime Minister.
- He had sought a waiver after he had been offered a position in the Tata Group. He has been offered waiver.
- He is appointed in the Tata Group as its new president in charge of global corporate affairs.

\n\n

What were the earlier instances?

 $n\n$

\n

• Former finance secretary Ashok Jha was granted a waiver to join as head of Hyundai India.

۱'n

- \bullet The present government changed the provisions of the TRAI Act through an ordinance, once it was in power. $\$
- This was done to appoint the former chairman of TRAI as the principal secretary to Prime Minister.
- \bullet The ordinance relaxed the conditions, for former TRAI's chiefs to take up an employment under the central and state governments. \n

 $n\n$

What is the concern?

\n

- Well-laid procedure is in place for processing proposals to grant such permission to officers who retire as joint secretary and above.
- This is to ensure that grant of such permission does not depend on the discretion of the government of the day.
- It is not a credible practice for these choices to be made discretionarily on case by case.

\n

• But the recent decisions leave scope for doubting the rationality.

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

• Sticking to the rules is essential to maintaining the proper distance between policymaking and corporate interests.

 $n\n$

۱'n

• Certain reforms in this regard were demanded by an Indian parliamentary committee in 2014.

\n

• It indeed extended its concerns to "consultancy" or non-executive work undertaken by retired officials.

\n

- There were also demands to extend the cooling-off period.
- Importantly, it called for adhering to a mandatory one-year cooling-off period without exception.

\n

 These reforms could be considered for implementation, to establish the credibility in the working of executive offices.

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

