

Controversy around Art 35A

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Supreme Court is hearing a PIL petition challenging the constitutional validity of Article 35A.

 $n\n$

What is the controversy in Art 35A?

 $n\n$

\n

 Article 35A allows the Jammu and Kashmir legislature to define the list of 'permanent residents' of the state, who -

 $n\n$

\n

1. are eligible to vote

\n

2. can work for the state government

۱n

3. can own land, buy property

۱n

4. can secure public employment and college admissions, etc.

۱n

 $n\n$

\n

- Non-permanent residents are denied all these rights.
- This is because a male resident will not lose the right of being a permanent resident even after marriage to a woman from outside.
- \bullet A woman from outside the state shall became a permanent resident on marrying a male permanent resident of the state. \n

- However, a daughter who is born state subject of J&K will loss the right of being a permanent resident on marrying an outsider.
- \bullet It discriminates against women who marry outside the State from applying for jobs or buying property. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- This is said to be **against the spirit of Article 14** of the Constitution which provides for equality before the law and the equal protection of the laws.

 $n\n$

Why is the case significant?

 $n\n$

\n

 Art 35A was added to the constitution through the Constitution (Application to Jammu and Kashmir) Order, 1954, a presidential order not yet ratified by the Parliament.

۱n

- \bullet It is being challenged that the provision was "unconstitutional" and approved without any debate in the parliament. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- The J&K government sees \mathbf{Art} 35 \mathbf{A} as offering the \mathbf{state} a $\mathbf{special}$ $\mathbf{position}$.
- \bullet On the other hand, the Centre differs on the grounds that it discriminates against women and is calling for a larger debate. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- \bullet The issue is now getting a political tone leading to tensions between the state and the central government. $\$
- There are also apprehensions that any adverse order against the provision could give the state's separatists a chance to stir up violence in the state.
- It is high time that the governments place the rights and privileges of the people of the state above political motives and deal it accordingly.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

