

## Concerns with India's Export Subsidies - II

Click [here](#) for Part I

\n\n

### What is India's argument?

\n\n

\n

- When SCM Agreement was implemented in 1994-95, countries with GNI higher than \$1,000 got 8 years to get rid of their export subsidies.

\n

- India argues that it is also entitled to an 8-year phase-out period and would put this forth in the discussions it has with the US.

\n

- But obviously the two situations are not comparable.

\n

- Essentially, the phase-out period was extended to give comfort to members when the pact kicked in.

\n

- But more than two decades have passed since then.

\n

- India's earlier efforts, to establish that it would be fair to extend the same dispensation to all, have not borne fruit yet at the WTO.

\n

\n\n

### What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- The least that India should have done to prepare for the eventuality was to have a contingency plan ready.

\n

- Nevertheless, it generally takes at least a couple of years for a dispute at the multilateral forum to run its course.

\n

- India has to use this time effectively to draw up alternative schemes.

\n

- Wide-ranging discussions with industry and related ministries for looking at possible alternatives to the export subsidy schemes are essential.  
\n
- The options may include technology upgrading funds, capital expenditure subsidies, and funds for research and development.  
\n
- Various ministries should cooperate with the commerce ministry in deciding ways to extending support to exporters without violating WTO rules.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: BusinessLine**

\n

