Concerns with Banks Recapitalisation

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n
- The Centre recently announced the details of recapitalisation plan for PSBs. Click here to know more.
\n
- While the move has been largely viewed with optimism, there are serious concerns that demand a reassessment.
\n

\n\n

What are the benefits?

\n\n

\n
- Capital infusion reinforces the Centre’s commitment to support weak public sector banks.
\n
- This will certainly help them meet the regulatory capital requirement.
\n
- ON the other hand, it will provide growth capital to the slightly better performing banks.
\n
- Also, the deadline for resolving the first set of large accounts under IBC is fast approaching (by March, 2018).
\n
- Given this, capital infusion will give more space for the banks to clean up their balance sheets.
\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n
- 46% more capital has been given to the banks under the RBI’s prompt

corrective action.
\n
- This is owing to their weak capital and higher NPA levels.
\n
- This is against the general perception that bigger and better performing banks would be rewarded with more capital.
\n
- The plan evidently offers a **larger share of capital for weaker banks**.
\n
- This, in a way, denies the growth capital to more deserving banks.
\n
- Notably, weak bank’s capital ratios have increased beyond the requirement to meet the regulatory threshold.
\n
- The Centre's approach is only indicative of **higher bad loan provisioning** in the coming quarters.
\n
- Besides, the recap plan makes some **relaxations** to existing systems.
\n
- These are in relation with:
\n

\n\n

\n
i. closer monitoring of big-ticket loans
\n
ii. identifying niche areas where a bank has strengths
\n
iii. restricting corporate exposure to 25%
\n
iv. a new performance management system
\n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

\n
- The capital offered should be able to meet the government’s **service priorities**.
\n
- This, in particular, applies to smoother **credit flows to small businesses**.
\n
- The constitution of the **Banks Board Bureau** was a welcome move in the governance of PSBs.

\n
- But impact thus far has been very minimal.
\n
- PJ Nayak committee’s recommendations including giving more **autonomy** to bank boards need to be implemented in true spirit.
\n
- Thus, a sustained solution lies in taking forward the **structural reforms**.
\n
- This is even more crucial for the current recapitalisation exercise to pay favourable results.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Line, The Hindu

\n

