

## **Concerns in Railways Organisation**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  The Bibek Debroy Committee made its recommendation on railway reforms.
- It needs a reassessment with a holistic approach, in terms of addressing the varied concerns in Railways.

 $n\n$ 

### What are the concerns in Railways?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **Departmentalism** The Bibek Debroy Committee refers to the negative aspects of <u>functional specialisation</u> as "departmentalism".
  - \n
- Departmentalism is a major constraint for bringing about rapid change in the Railways organisation.

\n

• This manifests itself in the form of unhealthy competition amongst departments.

\n

• **Competition** - They compete for appropriating a larger share of scarce resources.

\n

• Competition for usurping a larger share of key general management posts also exists.

۱n

- This is for better access to power, authority etc.
- **Personnel** Narrow departmental goals are pursued at the cost of organisational goals and objectives.
- Resultantly, there is lack of team work and cohesion.
- Departmentalism seems to mix up the issue of career progression with the

issue of departmental bias.

۱n

• These are related issues, but it's important to address them separately to find a solution to "departmentalism".

\n

• **Cadres** - Currently the personnel for each of the departments are recruited as distinct cadres.

\n

• This takes place through the civil services examination for the four non-engineering disciplines.

\n

• For the five engineering disciplines, engineering services examinations are conducted.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What are the concerns with the recommendations?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Debroy Committee make suggestion for two services, by **merging the different cadres.** 

\n

• One, recruited through the civil services examination and the other through the engineering services examination.

\n

- $\bullet$  Merger of cadres would help resolve the inter-cadre tussle for top positions.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- It is also expected to free managerial behaviour of departmental bias.
- **Concerns** However, the departments would still exist, and so would departmental goals.

۱n

• So how far would single cadre or just two cadres lead everyone to work towards the organisational goal is uncertain.

۱'n

• Also, there are technical concerns in the merging of the cadre.

• Decisions taken by non-technical personnel on technical matters would remain a concern.

\n

• Merged cadres may not necessarily lead to more focus on organisational goals rather than departmental goals.

\n

 $n\n$ 

# What is the way forward?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The merger of cadres is a major surgical intervention proposed by the Debroy Committee.

\n

• It is a possibly way of resolving career progression issues but not coordination issues.

\n

- $\bullet$  Strengthening all coordination mechanisms that exist and the creation of such mechanisms where they do not exist is crucial. \n
- So, unification of performance metrics across departments and merger of indices of performance is essential.
- Departments can thus work synchronously towards the goals of the whole organisation.

\n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## **Source: Indian Express**

\n

