

## Concerns in Biofuel Plans

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The Union Government's plans and proposals on the production and use of biofuel have given rise to some concerns.

\n\n

### What are the recent moves?

\n\n

\n

- **Production** - The government has an ambitious plan to triple the production of biofuels in four years.

\n

- This is a positive move to reduce the excessive dependence on imports for meeting the energy needs.

\n

- **Policy** - The recently-approved National Biofuel Policy 2018 pushes for a new biofuel strategy.

\n

- It is aimed at raising ethanol doping of petrol to 10% by 2022 and 20% by 2030.

\n

- This will be a rise from the present national average of around 2%.

\n

- Also, biofuel blending of diesel, which is almost negligible now, is proposed to be stepped up to 5% by 2030.

\n

- This is estimated to help save Rs 120 billion in import bill.

\n

- The intended objective is to create Rs 1 trillion biofuel economy.

\n

- **Refineries** - Oil marketing companies are already in the process of setting up 12 biofuel refineries.

\n

- These units would deploy second-generation technology.

\n

- It can make biofuels from even solid municipal and industrial trash.  
\n
- It also includes problematic agricultural wastes like paddy straw and crop stubbles.  
\n
- Notably, these are generally burnt in the fields itself, causing pollution.  
\n
- Government is offering subsidised credit, viability gap funding and relatively higher purchase prices for ethanol produced by these plants.  
\n
- The GST has been trimmed from 18% to 5% on ethanol and from 18% to 12% on biodiesel.  
\n
- **Sugar industry** - The sugar industry has already been permitted to produce ethanol.  
\n
- The mills are incentivised to set up biofuel refineries with liberal government assistance.  
\n
- The government has also fixed a higher procurement price for the ethanol drawn directly from cane juice.  
\n
- This is more than the price for that manufactured from the byproducts like molasses.  
\n

\n\n

## What are the concerns?

\n\n

- **Production** - It is proposed to use various kinds of farm produce and not just agricultural wastes for this purpose.  
\n
- This can potentially distort the pattern of agricultural output in favour of energy crops.  
\n
- This is a trend that even the land-rich countries are finding hard to sustain.  
\n
- **Ethanol** - The liberties granted to ethanol manufacturers remain contentious.  
\n

\n\n

\n

- They are allowed to choose their feedstock from a range of crops and other farm materials.

\n

- These include:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. sugarcane juice

\n

- ii. sugar containing crops such as beetroot and sweet sorghum

\n

- iii. starchy crops such as maize and cassava

\n

- iv. damaged food grains such as wheat, broken rice and potato

\n

\n\n

\n

- Evidently, many of these are part of the human or animal food chains.

\n

- **Sugar industry** - Ethanol drawn directly from cane juice could amount to a complete bypassing of sugar production.

\n

- Also, farmers would be encouraged to produce more of this water-intensive crop.

\n

- This could be at the cost of other crops that can be grown on the prime irrigated lands.

\n

- Despite farmers' benefits, such moves may pose problems in the wider economic interests.

\n

- **Bio-diesel** - For biodiesel, the new plan envisages utilisation of non-edible oilseeds and short-gestation oil-bearing crops.

\n

- This disregards the fact these oils are used in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic and other industries.

\n

- Given these, the well-intentioned biofuel plan does need some judicious alterations to address the concerns.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

