

## **Companies Act vs. Judiciary**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

The domain conflict between the provisions of the Companies Act and the higher judiciary has been brought to the fore by the 'Unitech Case'.

\n\n

### **What are the provisions of the companies Act, 2013?**

\n\n

\n

- The act is to amend and consolidate laws relating to companies.
- It notably includes preventing mismanagement of companies through the 'National Company Law Tribunal' (NCLT).
- Any company member or the larger public can apply to the tribunal against the alleged harmful conduct of the company.
- The Union government too can apply to the NCLT and seek orders, if it feels there has been some serious misconduct.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the Unitech Case?**

\n\n

\n

- Unitech is a real-estate Company that allegedly violated multiple provisions of the Companies Act.
- It had taken large amounts as advances from 19000 persons who were keen to get a home but construction wasn't even commenced.
- It was apparently clear that its affairs were being conducted in a manner prejudicial to everyone apart from the promoters.

\n

- Consequently, the Economic Offences Wing of the Delhi Police arrested the promoter and the case reached the Supreme Court.  
\n
- In order to save home buyers and depositors, the SC ordered Unitech to deposit some levy at regular intervals.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the recent developments?**

\n\n

- \n
- While the case is pending in the Supreme Court, the Centre approached the NCLT and requested that all the directors of the company be removed.  
\n
- While the Tribunal obliged the government's request and passed an order accordingly, Unitech approached the SC.  
\n
- The SC expressed its displeasure over the NCLT's move as it was already handling the case and stayed the tribunal's initial order.  
\n
- This implies that provisions of the companies act for reigning in mismanagement would be effective only if the higher courts aren't involved.  
\n
- This could happen only when the aggrieved party isn't inclined to approach the courts or doesn't have the resources for the same - both of which are rare.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n