

## China makes Border Settlement

### What is the news?

\n\n

A Chinese special representative, in a recent interview, said if India would compromise on this Arunachal Pradesh track, then Beijing would make similar compromises in the western sector.

\n\n

### What is the Chinese stand?

\n\n

\n

- Referring specifically to Tawang, a town in Arunachal, the representative said **Tawang is inalienable from China's Tibet** in terms of cultural background and administrative jurisdiction.

\n

- He asserted that **China was not a signatory of the Simla Accord** of June 3, 1914, which established the McMahon line in the eastern sector.

\n

- China is of the view that even British respected China's jurisdiction over Tawang and admitted that Tawang was part of China's Tibet.

\n

- It believes that the Simla Accord, as well as the McMahon Line which it created, are not only unfair and illegitimate, but also illegal and invalid.

\n

\n\n

### What is India's position?

\n\n

\n

- India said it was better to permanently close the boundary dialogue rather than Beijing raising the issue of Tawang.

\n

- India also said it would assume that China was not interested in settling the Line of Actual Control dispute if Tawang was ever brought to the

table.

\n

- Given the importance of Tawang in the four Buddhist schools in Tibet, particularly the Gelug-pa school to which the 14th Dalai Lama belongs, India would never give it up to China.

\n

- Further it will **have to go through a constitutional amendment** requiring the nod of two-thirds of Parliament, which will be politically disastrous for the government that moves the proposal.

\n

\n\n

### Why the Chinese stand is a concern?

\n\n

\n

- After 1962 war, Chinese withdrew behind the McMahon demarcation in the eastern sector but stuck to its positions in west.

\n

- So, further compromise would mean that the boundary would move from Kunlun to Karakoram watershed.

\n

- The Chinese interlocutors during the border talks conveyed that India would have to make concessions on both sides for a boundary settlement as by now the eastern sector had become important for Beijing.

\n

- The fact is that the **Chinese position on boundary resolution has been shifting** depending on Beijing's strategic ambitions.

\n

- Beijing is also aggressive in its demands, be it on the "One-China policy", the status of the Dalai Lama, the South China Sea, Masood Azhar's designation as terrorist or membership of the UNSC.

\n

- The recent interview further deepens Indian suspicion about China as the latter refuses to budge over any issue raised by India.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Hindustan Times**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*