

## **Centre's Deceit in the Cauvery Issue**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- The central government has once again (3<sup>rd</sup> time) failed to submit a draft scheme to enable the equitable sharing of Cauvery water.

\n

- Political considerations are suspected to have played a major role in the center's action that seems to be undermining Supreme Court's authority.

\n

\n\n

### **How did the present situation evolve?**

\n\n

\n

- The final verdict on Cauvery was given by the Supreme Court (SC) in February 2018, which ended a dispute of over 2 decades.

\n

- The verdict had provided for the formulation of a scheme for equitable sharing of Cauvery waters within 6 weeks.

\n

- The central government failed to constitute the board within the deadline citing frivolous ambiguities.

\n

- Consequently, SC had re-iterated its earlier verdict and asked for the constitution of a scheme within 3 weeks time.

\n

- This 2<sup>nd</sup> deadline had recently passed and the centre had again failed to comply citing the busy electioneering schedule of the central ministers.

\n

- This argument is legally untenable and morally wrong, and the centre could be pulled over for contempt of court for failing to fulfil a statutory obligation.

\n

- Busy schedule of the union ministers also seems bogus as cabinet decisions on multiple other issues were cleared recently.

\n

\n\n

## What are the implications?

\n\n

- \n• SC court had stated in its original verdict that there shall be no delay in constituting a 'Scheme for Cauvery'.  
\n
- But the Centre had been delaying it indefinitely and its approach to the case has been highly politicised.  
\n
- Notably, the political calculus in mind seems to be the approaching Karnataka Assembly elections.  
\n
- The Centre had mentioned that it had convened a meeting of representatives of the four States and had cited differences of opinion among the States.  
\n
- While there is a ring of truth to this, the court noted that the central government clearly lacked the intention.  
\n
- This set a very bad precedent and could prove disastrous for the political fabric of the nation in the long run.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

