

# **Bettering our GI Act**

#### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

• The existing law for Geographical Indications in India leans too heavily on documentary proof.

∖n

- This is a major huddle for many traditional products from getting the GI Tag.  $\slash n$ 

\n\n

#### What is the "Geographical Indication"?

\n\n

\n

- Geographical Indications (GIs) are recognitions for traditionally produced products that are specific to a region.  $\n$
- It intends to link the quality of a product to the territory from where the it originates from.
  - \n
- GIs support local production and are an important economic tool for the uplift of rural and tribal communities.  $\n$
- Unlike other Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) which guarantee the protection of individual interest, GI is a collective right.  $\n$
- If their products qualify, producers can use the collective GI mark while commercially exploiting their products.  $\n$

\n\n

#### What are the shortcomings in the Indian GI Act?

\n\n

\n

• India executed a law on GI in 1999 as per the WTO's "Trade Related Aspects

of Intellectual Property Rights -TRIPS" guidelines.

\n

- TRIPS only prescribes a minimum standard and there is no insistence on a particular framework for the grant of a GI Tag.  $\n$
- Against this backdrop, proof of origin is a mandatory criterion for registering GIs in India – a provision borrowed from the EU's act.
- While a historic proof in itself is a good safeguard, the Indian Act also stresses on documentary evidence for the same.
- Documented evidence would indeed be foolproof in ensuring the link between the product and territory.
- But in India, where oral history has had far wider convention over written history, this provision will prove to be a formidable hurdle.  $\n$
- Assam Example Assam has been exploring its natural, agricultural and traditional products as potential GI material.  $\n$
- But a stumbling block has been the difficulty in gathering documentary evidence as proof of origin.  $\gamman$
- The recent product in focus has been "Judima", a traditional rice wine made by the Dimasa tribe of Dima Hasao.
  \n
- For most products, especially those of tribal communities, the lack of documentary evidence is bound to be a recurrent problem.

\n\n

## What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

• In a particular instance, the GI Registry considered studying its evolution to establish proof of origin.

∖n

- But as the existing law insists on documentary proof, it is difficult to make authorities adopt similar stands for other products.  $\n$
- Hence, India should consider amending the current law to enable easier GI registration and enhance the marketability of our rich tradition.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu

∖n

