

Bailout Package for Sugarcane Farmers

Why in news?

\n\n

The Cabinet has recently approved a Rs 7,000-crore bailout package for sugarcane farmers.

\n\n

What is the need?

\n\n

∖n

- There has been an excess production in the current sugar season. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- This has depressed the market price of sugarcane. $\slash n$
- \bullet It has dropped from an average of Rs.37 a kg in the previous season to Rs.26 now.

\n

- This in turn has adversely affected the liquidity position of sugar mills. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- It has led to the accumulation of Rs 22,000-crore of cane price arrears by the sugar mills.

\n

- Sugar mills share 70 to 75% of the revenue generated from the sale of sugar and its by-products, with cane suppliers. \n
- This is as per the revenue-sharing formula of C Rangarajan committee on sugar deregulation.

\n

\n\n

What are the measures in this regard?

\n\n

\n

• The Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs (CCEA) has decided for a bail out package for the cane farmers.

\n

- It has also set the minimum selling price for sugar at Rs 29 per kg. $\space{1.5mm}\space{1.5$
- The government will procure sugar from mills at this fixed minimum price. $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- This is to remove the problem of liquidity of sugar mills and help them clear their dues.
 - ∖n
- The package comprises shares for creating a 30 lakh MT buffer stock. $\slash n$
- Besides this, a portion would go as soft loan for mill owners. \n
- This is to increase molasses and ethanol production capacity to divert surplus sugarcane. γn
- Additionally, another share would be towards interest subvention for the loan.

∖n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- The plan promises an assured minimum pricing of Rs.29 a kg.
 - \n
- It may dissipate the sugar mills' immediate liquidity problems to an extent.
- However, sugar mills say this is below their production cost of Rs.35 a kg. \n

\n\n

\n

- It could help mitigate only about 40% of the outstanding arrears to sugarcane farmers.
 - \n
- The production will rise again in the coming season and the extent of arrears would also rise.

∖n

• Besides, the loans and interest subsidies component will take time to materialise.

\n

- It will not be soon enough to address the present crisis. $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- The recent decision, thus, sticks to the old-style pricing and stock-holding

interventions.

\n

\n

- It does little to address the structural flaws in the sugar sector. $\slash n$
- The sops-driven solution could distort the agriculture sector further.

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

∖n

• Continuing the complex web of state controls in a politically-sensitive sector is no solution.

\n

- The best way is to address the problem of excess supply in the long run. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Ensuring some linkage, between the price paid for sugarcane and the end-products it is used for, would help. \n
- Also, a shift to market-driven cropping decisions should seriously be considered. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard, The Hindu

\n

