

Annual Status of Education Report

Why in news?

\n\n

Annual Status of Education Report (ASER), 2017 has been brought out by NGO Pratham.

\n\n

What is the report on?

\n\n

∖n

• Previous ASERs focused on 6-14 year olds and have reached almost all rural districts to make estimates representative at district, State, and national levels.

∖n

• However, ASER 2017 focuses on an older age group i.e. youth who are 14 to 18 years old.

∖n

 Also, it was conducted in 28 districts spread across 24 States and generated only district level estimates.

∖n

- The survey looks 'Beyond Basics', exploring a wider set of domains beyond foundational reading and arithmetic abilities of youth. \n

\n\n

What are the highlights?

\n\n

∖n

• **Enrolment** - In 14-18 age group, around <u>14% are not currently enrolled</u> in school or college.

\n

- However, this number varies a lot with age, ranging from around 5% at age 14 to around 30% at age 18.
 \n
- The low 5% at age 14 is a positive outcome of the compulsory education for

6-14 years under the RTE.

\n

- The enrolment ratios for boys and girls are almost the same at 14 years. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- However, at 18 years 32% of girls are not enrolled, as against 28% for boys. \n
- There are also discrete differences among States on the number of youth who are not enrolled in appropriate levels of schooling. \n
- **Knowledge** 14% of rural youth in the age group of 14-18 failed to identify the <u>map of India</u>.

\n

- A worrying 36% of those surveyed did not know that Delhi is the capital of India.

∖n

- + 60-80% of them cannot even tell the time correctly. \normal{n}
- About 25% of this age group still cannot read <u>basic texts</u> fluently in their own language.

∖n

- + 53% of all 14 year-olds in the sample can read English sentences. \n
- More than half in the age group struggle with <u>simple division (3 digit by 1 digit) problems.</u>
 - ∖n
- **Besides,** the report points to a <u>massive digital divide</u>, with poor access to facilities.

\n

• In this again, girls were worse off in terms of access to computers and the Internet.

∖n

\n\n

What does it imply?

\n\n

∖n

- Almost 10% of India's population falls in the 14-18 group. $\slash n$
- Thus the 14% of the **un-enrolled** population in this translates to a total of 125 million young Indians out of formal education system. n
- The enrolment scenario highlights **gender discrimination**, with the number of girls falling sharply with age.

\n

- India's predominantly youth population is seen as a $demographic \ dividend. _ \n$
- But the education scenario fall short of capitalising on this factor and deriving an economic dividend. \n
- As, a large number of Indian youths lack even basic employability. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Successive studies point to progress in raw enrolment of children in school, with poor achievement on learning outcomes.
 \n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

∖n

- Policy makers must acknowledge that all <u>expenditure on good education</u> is bound to have a <u>multiplier effect on productivity</u>. \n
- The right to free and <u>compulsory education must be extended to the 14-18 age group</u> as well, beyond the 6 to 14 at present. \n
- Guaranteed inclusion will empower those in the 14-18 age group and help them acquire finishing education. n
- This is so vital for their <u>participation in the workforce</u>, as India lacks trained manpower to boost its manufacturing capabilities. \n
- Equipping them with the skills, especially job-oriented vocational capabilities is essential.
 - \n
- Learning deficits highlight the need for <u>pedagogic tools</u> that synchronise knowledge with lived realities. $\$
- Scaling up <u>access to digital facilities</u> by bringing all children under the umbrella of a school, college or training institution is desirable.
 \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu, Indian Express

