

All India Judicial Service - NITI Aayog's Proposal

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- NITI Aayog, in its recent 'Strategy for New India @ 75' document, made a strong case for the creation of All India Judicial Service.
- But the varied limitations and concerns in its implementation call for a relook on the proposals.

\n

 $n\$

Is this the first time?

 $n\n$

\n

• All India Judicial Service (AJIS) is being advocated akin to the other central services like the IAS and the IPS.

\n

• The idea of an All India Judicial Service (AIJS) has been deliberated since Independence.

\n

 The first law commission in its 14th Report on Reform of Judicial Administration recommended creating a separate all-India service for judicial officers.

\n

• It favored an AIJS to ensure that subordinate court judges are paid salaries and given perks at parity with government bureaucrats.

\n

• The objective was to incentivize the option of the state judiciary as a viable career prospect.

\n

• Subsequently, a crucial step towards formalizing the process for setting up an AIJS was taken under 42nd Constitutional Amendment in 1976.

 $n\n$

What is the constitutional provision in place?

 $n\n$

\n

- With 42nd Constitutional Amendment, Article 312 was amended to confer power on the Rajya Sabha to initiate the process for setting up an AIJS.
- To this effect, it has to pass a resolution supported by two-thirds majority in the house.

\n

• The provision also restrained the composition of such a service to the <u>rank of district judges</u> (defined under Art 236), <u>excluding the lower subordinate judiciary.</u>

\n

- So, given this mandate under Art 312, the creation of an AIJS is constitutionally permissible.
 - \n
- Presently, the appointments to the subordinate judiciary are made under Articles 233 and 234 of the Constitution.

۱n

 However, the amended Art 312 commences with a non-obstante clause, overriding these provisions.

\n

- Therefore, any appointments made to the post of district judges, in terms of a law enacted under Art 312 would not conflict with the existing process.
- Furthermore, entry 70 of the Union List (List I Schedule VII) provides Parliament the exclusive authority to enact a law creating such an AIJS and all connected matters.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the limitations?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Despite the constitutional permit, there are some significant concerns which remain unaddressed in the NITI Aayog's proposal. $\$
- Vacancy The AIJS is being proposed as a way to address the vacancy crisis plaguing the Indian subordinate judiciary. $\$
- But notably, the Constitution permits only the appointments of district judges to such a prospective AIJS.

\n

\n

- \bullet At best, AIJS can only offer a more streamlined recruitment process for the limited number of vacancies for district judges in the country. \n
- **Composition** NITI Aayog has proposed a much wider composition for AIJS than what is permissible under Article 312.
- It has covered entry level civil judges, prosecutors and legal advisers to comprise the service.
- But such a sweeping mandate would require considerable amendments to the Constitution.
- This is especially with respect to the appointments process for the lower subordinate judiciary (all ranks below that of a district judge).
- These amendments, establishing a centralized appointments mechanism, may be constitutionally unsound.
- \bullet It is also vulnerable to being struck down as violations of the basic structure doctrine and judicial federalism. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- $\hbox{\bf Disagreement The central selection mechanism has been contentious within the legal fraternity and other stakeholders.} \\ \verb|\n| \\$
- There are concerns with the need to familiarize with local languages, customs, and laws of the state where a potential judicial officer will be posted.
- There are also procedural challenges to the need to ensure reservation for locally domiciled citizens.
- \bullet In all, the NITI Aayog's proposal should be revised in the light of these concerns and challenges. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Indian Express

\n

