

## Aligning Minimum Agricultural and MGNREGA Wages

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The gap between the minimum agricultural wages and MGNREGA wages is growing steadily in the last few years.

\n\n

### What are these wages meant for?

\n\n

\n

- **Minimum agricultural wage** - It is paid to agricultural workers.
- It is fixed by the respective state governments.
- The Supreme Court has upheld the minimum wages as a fundamental right.
- Moreover, any labour provided at below minimum wage is a “forced labour”.
- **MGNREGA wage** - The NREGA was enacted in 2005 for livelihood security.
- The Central Government may, by notification, specify the wage rate for the purposes of this Act.
- This is notwithstanding anything contained in the Minimum Wages Act, 1948.
- Different rates of wages may be specified for different areas.
- Wage rate may be specified from time to time, at a rate of not less than 60 rupees per day.

\n

\n\n

### What is the discrepancy?

\n\n

- \n
- The union government brought MGNREGA wages at par with minimum agricultural wages in 2009.
- \n
- Notably, in 2011, only 4 states, Kerala, Goa, Haryana and Mizoram, had minimum agricultural wages higher than MGNREGA wages.
- \n
- In 2016, NREGA wages were lower than minimum wages in almost half of India's states.
- \n
- Since then, the gap between the two sets of wages has grown steadily.
- \n

\n\n



\n\n

### **What is the latest revision?**

\n\n

- \n
- The Union government recently issued the revised wage rates for MGNREGA workers.
- \n
- The average wage hike for FY 2018-19 is 2.9%.
- \n
- This is only slightly higher than last year's 2.7%.
- \n
- In 2016-17, the average wage hike was 5.7%.
- \n
- Some states have seen only Rs 2 increase in daily wages.
- \n
- The wages remain unchanged in 10 states.
- \n
- These include states like Jharkhand, Bihar, Uttarakhand and Arunachal Pradesh.
- \n
- Notably, these are states where the wages are already the lowest.
- \n
- Unlike this year, in 2017-18 every state had an upward wage revision, even if marginal.
- \n
- With the latest revision, 28 out of 36 states and UTs have NREGA wage below the minimum agricultural wage.

\n

\n\n

## Why is the disparity?

\n\n

\n

- The Ministry of Rural Development (MoRD) set up the Mahendra Dev (2014) and Nagesh Singh (2016) Committees.

\n

- But the Finance Ministry failed to implement these recommendations.

\n

- The rejection of Mahendra Dev Committee's recommendations led to the lowest ever NREGA wage increase until 2017.

\n

- Nearly five states received an increase of only a rupee.

\n

- In 2018, the wages hit a new low after the Nagesh Singh Committee's report was turned down.

\n

\n\n

## What are the recommendations made?

\n\n

\n

- **Mahendra Dev Committee** - Workers should be paid either the minimum wage or the NREGA wage, whichever was higher.

\n

- The panel estimated the need for an additional allocation of Rs 6,000 crore.

\n

- This was a 17% increase to the then MGNREGA budget.

\n

- The annual revision of NREGA wages should be based on CPI-R instead of CPI-AL.

\n

- Consumer Price Index-Rural (CPI-R) reflects the current consumption pattern of rural households.

\n

- On the other hand, CPI for Agricultural Labourers (CPI-AL) is based on a 35-year-old consumption basket.

\n

- The Finance Ministry had turned down these recommendations.

\n

- It insisted on setting up a panel to study the financial implications of the Mahendra Dev report.  
\n
- **Nagesh Singh Committee** - There was no need to bring MGNREGA wages on a par with minimum wages of states.  
\n
- But, the annual wage revision should be linked to CPI (R).  
\n
- As, CPI (R) is a better indicator of wage increase as it gives lower weightage to food items.  
\n
- Whereas, the basket of goods for calculating CPI (AL) is mainly food items (up to 72%).  
\n

\n\n

### **What is Finance Ministry's argument?**

\n\n

- \n
- The Finance Ministry, however, argued that moving to CPI-R was not advisable at this stage.  
\n
- Besides food items, CPI-R gives weightage to expenses incurred on education, transport and communication, recreation, health, etc.  
\n
- The ministry said that these “miscellaneous items” under CPI-R might not represent the demand of NREGA workers.  
\n
- Moreover, such a move would lead to a bigger fiscal burden.  
\n

\n\n

### **Why is raising NREGA wage important?**

\n\n

- \n
- The NREGA was primarily enacted for the enhancement of livelihood security of the households in rural areas.  
\n
- A Supreme Court’s order mentions MGNREGA work as the last recourse while seeking work.  
\n
- A lower payment for this would push the worker and the family into “sub-

human existence”.

\n

- On an average, 5 crore rural households rely on the scheme each year for their livelihood.

\n

- This increases in times of rural distress, as people use the scheme to make up for falling farm incomes.

\n

- Nearly 40% of the beneficiaries of the scheme are estimated to have been SCs and STs, bearing a social implication as well.

\n

- The government should address the disparity in the wages to make sense to the purpose of NREGA.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n

